## Synthesis of 2-Alkenyl-3-methylcyclohex-2-en-1-ones

By Joseph Amupitan and James K. Sutherland\* (Chemistry Department, The University, Manchester M13 9PL)

Summary The 2-diethylaminoethyl ether of 2,5-dihydrom-cresol is metallated with Bu<sup>n</sup>Li-hexamethylphosphoric triamide (HMPA) and then alkylated and hydrolysed to yield 2-alkenyl-3-methylcyclohex-2-en-1-ones (3).

In connection with a synthetic problem we required a variety of 2-alkenyl-3-methylcyclohex-2-en-1-ones (3); some could be prepared by the classical Hagemann's ester route¹ but, with others, the method failed at either the alkylation or hydrolysis stages even using modified procedures.² The

cyclohexane-1,3-dione route to the enones is limited because the dione can only be alkylated in good yield with reactive halides<sup>3</sup> but has recently been extended by the metallation and alkylation of dihydroresorcinol dimethyl ether with a wide variety of halides.4 However certain of our homoallyl halides failed to react by this method. We also found that the kinetic enolate<sup>5</sup> from 3-methylcyclohex-3-en-1-one could be alkylated only with reactive halides, e.g., allyl and prop-2-ynyl. In order to overcome these problems we first attempted, unsuccessfully, to metallate the methyl ether (1) with BunLi-hexamethylphosphoric triamide

RO Me

(1); 
$$R = OMe$$

(2);  $R = OCH_2CH_2NEt_2$ 

(3)

(HMPA) in tetrahydrofuran (THF). We then studied metallation of the diethylaminoethyl ether (2), reasoning that the presence of an efficient chelating group might increase the stability of the ion pair. The ether (2) was smoothly formed by Birch reduction† and metallation with BunLi-HMPA-THF (1 h) at 70 °C followed by addition of halide gave, after hydrolysis with 2n HCl-Me2CO, the required cyclohexenones (3) (see Table). We believe that this technique should have wide applicability.

## TABLE

Halide	% Yield of (3)
$CH_2=CH[CH_2]_2Br$	86
CH <sub>2</sub> =CHCH <sub>2</sub> Br	88
$p\text{-MeOC}_6H_4[CH_2]_2CH=CH[CH_2]_2Br$	89
$m\text{-MeOC}_6H_4[CH_2]_2CH=CH[CH_2]_2Br$	85
$p\text{-MeOC}_6H_4[CH_2]_2Br^a$	78
$MeC(Cl)=CH[CH_2]_2Cl$	80

<sup>a</sup> We thank Mr. A. Santos for carrying out this experiment.

We thank the University of Ahmadu Bello, Zaria, Nigeria for leave-of-absence to J. A.

(Received, 21st July 1978; Com. 783.)

- † Compound (2) appeared to undergo reduction more readily than (1). We have not investigated the alkylation of any species formed in situ.
- <sup>1</sup> D. Nasipuri, K. Mitra, and S. Venkataraman, J.C.S. Perkin I, 1972, 1836; G. Sarkar and D. Nasipuri, J. Indian Chem. Soc., 1968, 45, 200; D. Nasipuri, G. Sarkar, M. Guha and R. Roy, Tetrahedron Letters, 1966, 927.

  <sup>2</sup> W. S. Johnson, N. P. Jensen, J. Hooz, and E. J. Leopold, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 5872; J. A. Marshall, N. Cohen, and A. R. Hochstetler, ibid., 1966, 88, 3408.

  <sup>3</sup> H. Stetter and W. Dierichs, Chem. Ber., 1952, 85, 1061; K.-W. Rosenmund and H. Bach, ibid., 1961, 94, 2394; A. B. Mekler,
- S. Ramachandran, S. Swaminathan and M. S. Newman, Org. Synth., 1961, 41, 56.

  - E. Piers and J. R. Grierson, J. Org. Chem., 1977, 42, 3755.
     R. E. Donaldson and P. L. Fuchs, J. Org. Chem., 1977, 42, 2032.